

## Instructions for CSP Scoring Workbook

After opening document; duplicate or save as project name

Start with Ranking Sheet: input in order from lowest proposal to highest, the Proposer' names, Base Price, and Alternate Totals

The WorkBook will auto fill the name of the Proposers on the appropriate Scoring Sheet: SS (1) - SS (10). The Workbook will also fill on the Proposers score for price on the appropriate Scoring Sheet.

Setup Scoring worksheet by following directions in red at top of page: **Before starting this worksheet enter number of Committee Members here:** and **enter project name here:**

Use Scoring Worksheet to fill in Committee Member Votes for each scoring criteria question

The Workbook will auto fill in the Scoring Sheets for each Propers with the tabulated votes divided by the number of Committee Members



## Competitive Sealed Proposal Ranking for: \_\_\_\_ City Hall 3rd floor \_\_\_\_\_

| Contractor Name       | Bid Bond, Etc. | Add #1 Received | Add #2 Received | Base Price  | Alternates Totals | Total Cost   | Price 40pts. | Past Projects with References Listed 20pts. | Team Qualifications & Experience 20pts. | Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control 20pts. | Compliance with Bid Format 0 to -10pts. | Total Points |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| Southwest Corpoartion |                |                 |                 | \$96,684.00   |                   | \$96,684.00  | 40.00        | 15.50                                       | 13.38                                   | 12.50   | -6.75                                   | 74.63        |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| DKC                   |                |                 |                 | \$106,922.00  |                   | \$106,922.00 | 36.17        | 7.00  | 9.25                                    | 9.25  | -4.75                                   | 56.92        |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Novium                |                |                 |                 | \$109,500.00  |                   | \$109,500.00 | 35.32        | 17.50                                       | 12.88                                   | 16.50   | -5.75                                   | 76.44        |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Navcon                |                |                 |                 | \$109,093.00  |                   | \$109,093.00 | 35.45        | 14.50                                       | 20.00                                   | 18.25   | -0.25                                   | 87.95        |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Proposer's Name       |                |                 |                 |   |                   | \$0.00       | #DIV/0!      | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | #DIV/0!      |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Proposer's Name       |                |                 |                 |   |                   | \$0.00       | #DIV/0!      | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | #DIV/0!      |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Proposer's Name       |                |                 |                 |   |                   | \$0.00       | #DIV/0!      | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | #DIV/0!      |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Proposer's Name       |                |                 |                 |   |                   | \$0.00       | #DIV/0!      | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | #DIV/0!      |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Proposer's Name       |                |                 |                 |   |                   | \$0.00       | #DIV/0!      | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | #DIV/0!      |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |
| Proposer's Name       |                |                 |                 |   |                   | \$0.00       | #DIV/0!      | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | 0.00  | 0.00                                    | #DIV/0!      |
|                       |                |                 |                 | (Lowest Priced Proposal / Proposer's Price) X 40 = <b>Price Score</b> |                   |              |              |   |   |   |   |              |

Selection Committee:

Date: 7/2/2015

[illegible]

## CSP Committee Meeting Scoring Worksheet

Before starting this worksheet enter number of Committee Members here: 4

And enter project name here: Project Name

**Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)**

| Committee Member -    | Brooks | Chad | Travis | Patricia | #5 | #6 | #7 | #8 | #9 | #10 | divide by | Scores |
|-----------------------|--------|------|--------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----------|--------|
| Southwest Corpoartion | 5.00   | 5.00 | 5.00   | 5.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 5.00   |
| DKC                   | 2.00   | 2.00 | 3.00   | 2.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 2.25   |
| Novium                | 5.00   | 5.00 | 5.00   | 5.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 5.00   |
| Navcon                | 5.00   | 5.00 | 5.00   | 5.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 5.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |

**Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)**

| Committee Member -    | Brooks | Chad | Travis | Patricia | #5 | #6 | #7 | #8 | #9 | #10 | divide by | Scores |
|-----------------------|--------|------|--------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----------|--------|
| Southwest Corpoartion | 5.00   | 5.00 | 5.00   | 5.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 5.00   |
| DKC                   | 1.00   | 1.00 | 1.00   | 1.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 1.00   |
| Novium                | 5.00   | 4.00 | 4.00   | 5.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 4.50   |
| Navcon                | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |
| Proposer's Name       |        |      |        |          |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 0.00   |

**Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)**

| Committee Member -    | Brooks | Chad | Travis | Patricia | #5 | #6 | #7 | #8 | #9 | #10 | divide by | Scores |
|-----------------------|--------|------|--------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----------|--------|
| Southwest Corpoartion | 4.00   | 4.00 | 4.00   | 4.00     |    |    |    |    |    |     | 4         | 4.00   |

[illegible]

**Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)**

[illegible]

**Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)**

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

|                 |   |      |
|-----------------|---|------|
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |

**Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)**

[illegible]

**Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)**

[illegible]

**Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)**

[illegible]

|                 |   |      |
|-----------------|---|------|
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |
| Proposer's Name | 4 | 0.00 |

**Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)**

[illegible]

**Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)**

[illegible]



## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Southwest Corpoartion**

|  |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |       |       |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | 40.00 |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 40.00 |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.),<br/>CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>                                     |       |       |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 5.00  |       |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 5.00  |       |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 4.00  |       |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 1.50  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 15.50 |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |       |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 2.75  |       |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project?(5)               | 2.88  |       |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 2.75  |       |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 5.00  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 13.38 |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |       |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 1.75  |       |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 3.00  |       |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 2.75  |       |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 5.00  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 12.50 |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |       |       |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | -6.75 |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | -6.75 |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |       | 74.63 |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**DKC**

|  |       |              |
|--|-------|--------------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |       |              |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | 36.17 |              |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | <b>36.17</b> |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |       |              |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 2.25  |              |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 1.00  |              |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 3.75  |              |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00  |              |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | <b>7.00</b>  |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |              |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 1.50  |              |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 1.50  |              |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 1.25  |              |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 5.00  |              |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | <b>9.25</b>  |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |              |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 2.38  |              |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 1.75  |              |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00  |              |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 1.75  |              |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | <b>5.88</b>  |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |       |              |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | -4.75 |              |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | <b>-4.75</b> |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |       | <b>53.54</b> |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Novium**

|  |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |       |       |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | 35.32 |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 35.32 |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |       |       |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 5.00  |       |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 4.50  |       |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 5.00  |       |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 3.00  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 17.50 |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |       |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 5.00  |       |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 2.38  |       |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 3.25  |       |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 2.25  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 12.88 |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |       |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 5.00  |       |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 5.00  |       |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 4.50  |       |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 2.00  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 16.50 |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |       |       |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | -5.75 |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | -5.75 |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |       | 76.44 |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Navcon**

|  |       |       |
|--|-------|-------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |       |       |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | 35.45 |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 35.45 |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |       |       |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 5.00  |       |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00  |       |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 5.00  |       |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 4.50  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 14.50 |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |       |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 5.00  |       |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 5.00  |       |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 5.00  |       |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 5.00  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 20.00 |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |       |       |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 5.00  |       |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 3.25  |       |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 5.00  |       |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 5.00  |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | 18.25 |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |       |       |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | -0.25 |       |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |       | -0.25 |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |       | 87.95 |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Proposer's Name**

|  |         |                |
|--|---------|----------------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |         |                |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | #DIV/0! |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |         |                |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 0.00    |                |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00    |                |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 0.00    |                |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 0.00    |                |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 0.00    |                |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |         |                |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Proposer's Name**

|  |         |                |
|--|---------|----------------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |         |                |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | #DIV/0! |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |         |                |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 0.00    |                |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00    |                |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 0.00    |                |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 0.00    |                |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 0.00    |                |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |         |                |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Proposer's Name**

|  |         |                |
|--|---------|----------------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |         |                |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | #DIV/0! |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |         |                |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 0.00    |                |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00    |                |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 0.00    |                |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 0.00    |                |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 0.00    |                |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |         |                |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Proposer's Name**

|  |         |                |
|--|---------|----------------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |         |                |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | #DIV/0! |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |         |                |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 0.00    |                |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00    |                |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 0.00    |                |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 0.00    |                |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 0.00    |                |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |         |                |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |



## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Proposer's Name**

|  |         |                |
|--|---------|----------------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |         |                |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | #DIV/0! |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |         |                |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 0.00    |                |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00    |                |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 0.00    |                |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 0.00    |                |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 0.00    |                |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |                |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00    |                |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |         |                |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | 0.00    |                |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | <b>0.00</b>    |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |         | <b>#DIV/0!</b> |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Project Name**

**Proposer's Name**

|  |         |         |
|--|---------|---------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes<br/>(Total 40pts.)</b>  |         |         |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  | #DIV/0! |         |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | #DIV/0! |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |         |         |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    | 0.00    |         |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        | 0.00    |         |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  | 0.00    |         |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   | 0.00    |         |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | 0.00    |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |         |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  | 0.00    |         |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              | 0.00    |         |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) | 0.00    |         |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  | 0.00    |         |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | 0.00    |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |         |         |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   | 0.00    |         |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   | 0.00    |         |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  | 0.00    |         |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   | 0.00    |         |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | 0.00    |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested<br/>(e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>              |         |         |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  | 0.00    |         |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  |         | 0.00    |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   |         | #DIV/0! |

## City of Round Rock Competitive Sealed Proposal Scoring Sheet

**Proposer:**

**Project:**

|  |      |
|--|------|
| <b>Price: The quoted price, cost methodology, alternatives and mark up changes (Total 40pts.)</b>  |      |
| (lowest price proposal / proposer's price) x 40 =  |      |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  | 0.00 |
| <b>Past Projects with References Listed (Total 20pts.), CORR will call previous clients to score the next four items</b>   |      |
| Has the proposer performed local projects (local is defined as the Austin-Round Rock MSA) and is the client contact information provided? (5)                    |      |
| Are there governmental clients listed (with contact information) and was the project overall a positive experience for those clients? (5)                        |      |
| Are the projects presented equal or greater in complexity, scope, and dollar value when compared with the proposed project? (5)                                  |      |
| Was the completion of similar projects completed according to the construction schedule? (5)   |      |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  | 0.00 |
| <b>Company and Construction Team Qualifications (Total 20pts.)</b>   |      |
| Is the company competent, financially stable, and experienced to complete the proposed project? (5)  |      |
| Has the project manager and superintendent been successful in managing projects of similar size, complexity, and scope as the proposed project? (5)              |      |
| Does the construction team's resumes reflect technical knowledge and practical experience managing and constructing projects, equal to the proposed project? (5) |      |
| Has the construction team worked together on multiple projects? (5)  |      |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  | 0.00 |
| <b>Project Plan, Approach and Quality Control (Total 20pts.)</b>   |      |
| Are all project steps clearly identified, in logical order, and does the schedule meet the City's needs? (5)   |      |
| Does the company have a valid contingency plan if delays occur and the ability to execute that plan? (5)   |      |
| Can the company complete the project within the schedule and accomplish existing commitments? (5)  |      |
| Is the proposed program for quality control sound and specifically related to the project? (5)   |      |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  | 0.00 |
| <b>Compliance with Administrative Requirements and Information Requested (e.g., on time, complete, meets requirements, etc.) (0 to -10pts.)</b>                  |      |
| Did the Proposer follow the Proposal Instructions and format? (Subtract 1pt for each error up to 10pts)  |      |
| <b>Sub-Total=</b>  | 0.00 |
| <b>Total CSP Score</b>   | 0.00 |